IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR : M.P.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3776 of 1994.

PETITIONER : Rizwan Ul Haque S/o Shri Abdul Bari, aged 33 years, Sarpanch Gram Antagarh Tahsil Antagarh, District Bastar, M.P.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Charan S/o Phagawaram, resident of Gram Antagarh District Bastar, M.P.
2. Shamsher S/o Shri Alam, aged not known
3. Hameed S/o Shri Shaheed —— " ——
4. Joharu S/o Lacchar, —— " ——
5. Shayamsingh S/oAnkal, —— " ——
6. Ajay S/o Kapoorchand, —— " ——
7. Parasmal S/o Deepchand —— " ——
8. Anand Sharma S/o Phoolchand —— " ——
9. Ratanram S/o Ranjeet Singh, —— " ——
10. Phoolsingh S/o Soansingh, —— " ——
11. Rajru S/o Mansingh, —— " ——
12. Dukalu S/o Latel, —— " ——
13. Rameshwar S/o Shukhdeo —— " ——
14. Lakshaman S/o Heeralal, —— " ——
15. Vijay S/o Jaylal, —— " ——
16. Rajesh S/o Ankalu, —— " ——
17. Ramjee S/o Pakluram, —— " ——
18. Deepak S/o Mathura, —— " ——
19. Mukesh Kumar S/o Rajesh Kumar, —— " ——

All Nos. 1 to 19 are resident of Gram Antagarh, Tahsil Antagarh, Distt.Bastar.

20. Shri Dhananjay Devangan S/o not known, aged about 40 years, Sub Divisional Officer Cum Prescribed Authority Narainpur now transferred to Jagdalpur District Bastar, M.P.

21. The Sub Divisional Officer, Revenue, Narayanpur, District Bastar, M.P.

22. The State of Madhya Pradesh through Collector Cum District Election Officer (Panchayat) District Bastar (M.P.).

SOUTH COMMISSIONER JABALPUR Smt. SUMAN SONI

---2

23. The Block Development Officer cum Returning Officer, Antagarh, District Bastar M.P.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OR WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION AND/OR ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION.

The petitioner, above-named, begs to submit as

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3776 of 1994

Petitioner : Rizwan Ul Haque

Versus

Respondents : Charan and others

None appears for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 21, 22 and 23/State.

ORDER

(29th November, 2006)

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur